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Format

Is the manuscript consistent with the content and format requirements described in the Organization of Test Reviews* document and the Reviewers Guide for the MMY Series*?
Does the entire manuscript represent original work (i.e., not previously published, and not under concurrent consideration elsewhere)?
Is the manuscript between 1,000 and 1,600 words (excluding references)?
Is the entire manuscript (including references) double-spaced?
Is the manuscript free of boldfacing?

Quotations

Are all quotations (including those taken from the test materials) enclosed within quotation marks?
Are page numbers and reference citations provided for all quotations, including those taken from the test manual or materials?
Are ideas from other authors properly credited to them?
Are quotations used judiciously (i.e., manuscript contains no more than 100 words in total drawn from the work of others)?
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Are the titles of journals spelled out?
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- **Test review retrieved from a print volume** -


• **Journal article (more than seven authors)**


• **Paper/poster presentation**

Geisinger, K. F. (2017, April). Fairness of non-cognitive measures depends upon their uses. In M. Oliveri (Chair), *Innovative approaches to fairly designing and developing non-cognitive measures for diverse populations*. Symposium conducted at the annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education, San Antonio, TX.
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